

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

**RYAN P. GIVEY**

*Petitioner, pro se*

**v.**

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE,  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF  
PENNSYLVANIA, *et al.***

*Respondents*

:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:

**CIVIL ACTION**

**NO. 22-0298**

**ORDER**

**AND NOW**, this 14<sup>th</sup> day of July 2023, upon consideration of Respondent Jennifer Arbittier Williams’ (“Williams”) *motion to dismiss*, [ECF 21], Petitioner Ryan P. Givey’s (“Petitioner”) response in opposition, [ECF 22], Williams’ reply, [ECF 24], Petitioner’s sur-reply, [ECF 27], and the allegations in the petition for a writ of *mandamus* (the “Petition”), [ECF 1], it is hereby **ORDERED** that, for the reasons set forth in the accompanying Memorandum Opinion, Williams’ motion to dismiss is **GRANTED**. Accordingly, the Petition is **DISMISSED**, in its entirety, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(1), for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction.

**BY THE COURT:**

/s/ Nitza I. Quiñones Alejandro

**NITZA I. QUIÑONES ALEJANDRO**

*Judge, United States District Court*